

EXHIBIT A – Year 2005

1. Belveal & Eigel, LLC may or may not have submitted a Worksheet for Year 2005 with its proposed Order filed February 11, 2010, as determined by the date of the Certificate of Service. The proposed Order was the result of the hearing held on January 13, 2010. Worksheet A should have been used to determine the award of child support for the months of July through December 2005, in the same manner as used to upwardly modify the award in favor of Rita Dolbow for the months of October through December 2009 and commencing January 1, 2010 - the 2010 award to run until the 19th birthday of Robert Johnson's son on August 6, 2010. (See Exhibits E and F.)
2. In the hearing of January 13, 2010, heard by District Court Magistrate **John Paul Lyle**, the Court took evidence about the private agreement between Rita Dolbow, Pro Se, and Robert Johnson, Pro Se, and respected their right to decide the private change in custody commencing July 2005 and ending in December 31, 2007, and retroactively modified the original Order. The mutually agreed upon private change in custody was made in the best interest of their only son.
3. El Paso County Child Support Enforcement Unit, through the Law Firm of Belveal & Eigel, LLC, should have submitted Worksheet A which would have resulted in the award being made to the father, Robert Johnson, for six months. By not seeking a modification to the original Order, Robert Johnson freely waived any claim for payment of child support to him as the custodial parent.
4. In this matter, the proposed Amended Order, Page 2, Number 5-A states:

“For year 2005, based upon the child support worksheet, no 10% change occurred and modification is, therefore not warranted.”

EXHIBIT B – Year 2006

1. The Worksheet for Year 2006 dated January 26, 2010, as submitted to the Court by Belveal & Eigel, LLC as mailed to Robert Johnson and Rita Dolbow on February 11, 2010, as determined in the proposed Order from the Certificate of Mailing, uses Worksheet B for purposes of determining the award of child support. Worksheet A should have been used. The proposed Order having been marked, Nunc Pro Tunc for January 13, 2010, the date of the first hearing of the matter between Robert Johnson and El Paso County Child Support Enforcement Unit; such retroactive re-dating (“back dating”) requires a court order.
2. In the hearing of January 13, 2010, heard by District Court Magistrate **John Paul Lyle**, the Court took evidence about the private agreement between Rita Dolbow, Pro Se, and Robert Johnson, Pro Se, and respected their right to decide the private change in custody commencing July 2005 and ending in 2007 and retroactively modified the original order. The mutually agreed upon private change in custody was made in the best interest of their only son.
3. El Paso County Child Support Enforcement Unit, through the Law Firm of Belveal & Eigel, LLC, should have submitted Worksheet A which would have resulted in the award being made to the father, Robert Johnson. By not seeking a modification of the original Order, Robert Johnson freely waived any claim for payment of child support to him as the custodial parent. In this regard, Robert Johnson is not subject to any order for spousal support. Child support is to benefit the child in the child’s home environment.
4. Specifically, Worksheet B, as submitted as proof of award computation, contains erroneous and false information on its face. From the top of the worksheet down, the following observations exist:
 - a. The header cites “CSR 14-10-115, effective January 1, 2008. “The calculation being determined is for Year 2006.” At present, this is considered a word processing error with no known adverse effects to Robert Johnson.
 - b. The caption states: “This Worksheet is for one child living most of the time with the Mother. Overnight parenting time with Father: 145 (39.726%).” This is considered a blatant and intentional misrepresentation of fact. (See also Exhibit C.)
 - c. Line Item 7 cites OVERNIGHTS as 220 for Mother and 145 for Father. This is considered a blatant and intentional misrepresentation of fact. (See also Exhibit C.)

EXHIBIT C – Year 2007

1. The Worksheet for Year 2007 dated January 26, 2010, as submitted to the Court by Belveal & Eigel, LLC as mailed to Robert Johnson and Rita Dolbow on February 11, 2010, as determined in the proposed Order from the Certificate of Mailing, uses Worksheet B for purposes of determining the award of child support. Worksheet A should have been used. The proposed Order having been marked, Nunc Pro Tunc for January 13, 2010, the date of the first hearing of the matter between Robert Johnson and El Paso Child Support Enforcement; such retroactive re-dating (“back dating”) requires a court order.
2. In the hearing of January 13, 2010, heard by District Court Magistrate **John Paul Lyle**, the Court took evidence about the private agreement between Rita Dolbow, Pro Se, and Robert Johnson, Pro Se, and respected their right to decide the private change in custody commencing July 2005 and ending December 2007 and retroactively modified the original order. The mutually agreed upon private change in custody was made in the best interest of their only son.
3. El Paso County Child Support Enforcement Unit, through the Law Firm of Belveal & Eigel, LLC, should have submitted Worksheet A which would have resulted in the award being made to the father, Robert Johnson. By not seeking a modification of the original Order, Robert Johnson freely waived any claim for payment of child support to him as the custodial parent.
4. Specifically, Worksheet B as submitted to the Court contains erroneous and misleading information on its face. From the top of the worksheet down, the following observations exist:
 - a. The header cites “CSR 14-10-115, effective January 1, 2008. “The calculation being determined is for Year 2007. At this time, this is considered a word processing error with no adverse effect on Robert Johnson. (See also Exhibit B.)
 - b. The caption states, “This Worksheet is for one child living most of the time with the Mother. Overnight parenting time with Father: 145 (39.726%).” This is considered a blatant and intentional misrepresentation of fact. (See also Exhibit B.)
 - c. Worksheet Line Item 5 uses the low income modifier without proving Mother’s qualification for adjustment. The adjustment created an upward movement in the obligation of child support to Robert Johnson.

EXHIBIT D – Year 2008

1. The Worksheet for Year 2008 dated January 26, 2010, as submitted to the Court by Belveal & Eigel, LLC on February 11, 2010, as determined by the Certificate of Service on the proposed Order, gives “first” credit of health and dental insurance premiums at \$385 per month having been paid directly and consistently by Robert Johnson since the date of the original Order for his son’s wellness. This adjustment may or may not have been made to appease Robert Johnson, who from October 10, 2008 to the present, has been engaged in a vigorous dispute concerning child support enforcement actions carried out through El Paso County Child Support Enforcement, a “collection agency” under government contract with El Paso County and, therefore, with the Colorado Department of Human Services.
2. In this matter, the Worksheet description of Line Item 9 states: “.by Father in partial satisfaction of his support obligation.”

EXHIBIT E – Year 2009

1. The Worksheet for Year 2009 is for January 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009, dated January 26, 2010, as submitted to the Court by Belveal & Eigel, LLC on February 11, 2010, as determined by the Certificate of Service on the proposed Order
2. Robert Johnson did not receive like treatment for the six months that preceded the private shift of custody and financial responsibility to him commencing July 2005 and ending as of January 1, 2008.
3. This modification calculation preceded the modification calculation for the remaining two months of the year, i.e. October 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009, and for the modification calculation of the child support award “Commencing January 1, 2010.” (See Exhibits E &F)
4. Robert Johnson did not receive like treatment for the six months that preceded the private shift of custody and financial responsibility to him commencing July 2005 and ending as of January 1, 2008.

EXHIBIT F – Year 2010

1. The Worksheet “Commencing January 1, 2010”, as dated January 26, 2010, as submitted to the Court by Belveal & Eigel, LLC on February 11, 2010, as determined by the Certificate of Service in its proposed Order filed February 11, 2010, increased the amount of the child support award for the then six months remaining to Robert Johnson son’s 19th birthday on August 6, 2010.
2. This modification and prior partial year adjustments in the child support award were punitive in nature and were performed for motives unrelated to the purpose of fairness in providing parental support to minor children.