

District Court, El Paso County, Colorado Court Address: 270 S. Tejon St. Colorado Springs, CO 80903	<b>▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲</b>
Robert Wayne Johnson, Plaintiff  v.  Vanessa Ralphita Dolbow, Defendant AND Jonica Brunner, Melissa Balquin, Leslie McGrew, Larry Desbien, Toni Herman and Richard Bentsson, Co-Defendants	
Attorney or Party Without Attorney:  Robert Wayne Johnson 307 S. 26 <sup>th</sup> St. Colorado Springs, CO 80904  Phone Number: 719-640-2155	Case Number: 2011CV229  Division: 13 Courtroom: S404
<b>AMENDED COMPLAINT</b>	

**COMES NOW** Plaintiff, ROBERT WAYNE JOHNSON, on his own behalf, to amend his original complaint for outrageous conduct and civil conspiracy against Defendant, VANESSA RALPHITA DOLBOW, to incorporate fraud, unjust enrichment, and defamation as additional counts and to join Jonica Brunner, Melissa Balquin, Leslie McGrew, Larry Desbien, Toni Herman, and Richard Bengtsson, severally and jointly, as co-defendants and, in support thereof, states:

I.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE AND JUDGE**

608. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraph 1 of the original 607-paragraph complaint by

qualifying that he is: (a) seeking damages from Defendant and Co-Defendants, severally and jointly and (b) venue is *improper* [emphasis added] in the Fourth Judicial District of Colorado due to ongoing efforts to prohibit the public disclosure of any fact at trial or in a recorded proceeding that would expose the racketeering scheme known to the Chief Judge of the Fourth Judicial District, the Chief Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court and many other government officials at the county, state, and federal levels including, but not limited to, El Paso County District Attorney Dan May, El Paso County Administrator Jeff Greene, the current board of the El Paso County Board of Commissioners, El Paso County Attorney William H. Louis, El Paso County's Clerk and Recorder Wayne Williams, former Commissioner Jim Bensburg, Denver County District Attorney Mitchell Morrissey, Colorado General Assembly members Larry Liston, Mark Barker, Brandon Shaffer, Mark Ferrandino, Mark Scheffel, Mike Kopp, and John Morris, U.S. Senator Michael Bennet (CO), U.S. Senator Mark Udall (CO), U.S. Representative Doug Lamborn (CO), U.S. House Majority Leader John Boehner, U.S. House Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi, Senators Jeff Sessions and Tom Coburn and U.S. Representatives Edolphus Towns and Hank Johnson, former U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Assistant Secretary Carmen Nazario, the DHHS Administration for Children and Families, Office of Child Support Enforcement Commissioner Vicki Turetsky as well as The Gazette and various ABC and public broadcasting affiliates of its parent company Freedom Communications, The Colorado Springs Independent, The Denver Post, KRDO Channel 13 of Colorado Springs, and Fox News.

609. The original complaint was filed on July 8, 2011 and served July 13, 2011 upon Defendant, Vanessa Ralphita Dolbow, who resides in El Paso County, Colorado.

610. Defendant made a first appearance in the case by letter to the court dated

July 27, 2011.

611. Plaintiff moved for change of venue on August 8, 2011 pursuant to C.R.C.P. 98(g) asserting that the court, through certain officers and employees, is under the continued control of government leaders intent on preventing disclosure of the racketeering scheme uncovered in El Paso County as a result of Defendant Dolbow's application to the County's child support enforcement delegate.

612. Defendant Dolbow was unresponsive to Plaintiff's motion for change of venue.

613. "The Court," as represented by Judge Barbara L. Hughes, resolved Plaintiff's contest for change of venue in favor of The Court and issued the order denying it August 22, 2011.

614. Plaintiff moved for a change of judge on August 30, 2011 based on facts that led Plaintiff to believe The Court was a participate in the alleged racketeering scheme involving pro se parties of child support enforcement cases unlawfully handled through the Child Support Division of the Fourth Judicial District of Colorado.

615. Defendant Dolbow was unresponsive to Plaintiff's motion for change of judge.

616. The Court resolved Plaintiff's contest for change of judge by issuing the order denying it on August 30, 2011, taking note only that The Court is, no doubt, not a federal judge.

617. Jurisdiction and Venue and Judge are *ordered* correct in this District Court of El Paso County, Colorado. [Emphasis added.]

## II.

### PARTIES

618. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 2-3 of the 607 paragraphs of the original complaint and adds paragraph 618 and paragraphs 619-624 that follow.

619. Defendant Jonica Brunner is a legal technician employed by El Paso County's new delegate for child support enforcement pursuant to a stipulated contract agreement to retain

the employees of the prior contractor. Defendant Brunner's place of employment, therefore, continues to be at 30 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903. Defendant Brunner is represented by the new contractor's legal services provider identified as Christina K. Eigel and Tracy Rumans, two former members of Belveal, Eigel, Rumans & Fredrickson LLC, the former law firm under contract with the former contractor to provide legal services. Both attorneys made appearances for Defendant Brunner in their respective motions to quash subpoenas in the related case identified as 1996C14418. Ms. Eigel's appearance to quash Defendant Brunner's subpoena to appear was left in as the "first paragraph 2" of her September 2, 2011 motion that fed off of: (a) the motion by the El Paso County Attorneys Office to quash the County employees' subpoenas to appear and to produce and for protection of the subpoenaed County record and (b) Colorado's motion to quash the subpoena to appear and to produce ("subpoena duce tecum") served on Leslie McGrew. Therefore, service of process by mail for Ms. Brunner to Ms. Eigel and Ms. Brunner is proper.

620. Defendant Melissa Balquin is a fiscal specialist employed by El Paso County's current child support enforcement contractor under the same circumstances as Defendant Brunner. Defendant Balquin's place of employment, therefore, continues to be at 30 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903. Defendant Balquin is represented in like manner by Ms. Eigel and Ms. Rumans. Both attorneys made appearances for Defendant Balquin in their respective motions to quash in the related case identified as 1996C14418. Ms. Rumans personally appeared at the hearing of the related case on September 6, 2011 to quash Defendant Balquin's subpoena to appear. Ms. Eigel's appearance to quash Defendant Balquin's subpoena to appear was left in as the "first paragraph 2" of her motion to quash the subpoena to produce and for protection of the record compelled from an El Paso County employee and not from Ms.

Balquin or Ms. Brunner. Therefore, service of process by mail for Ms. Balquin to Ms. Eigel and Ms. Rumans at 30 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 is proper.

621. Defendant Leslie McGrew is a Colorado Department of Human Services employee in the Division of Child Support Enforcement where she acts as Evaluation Supervisor. Defendant McGrew's place of employment is located at 1575 Sherman Street, Denver, Colorado 80203. Colorado Attorney General John W. Suthers and Assistant Attorney General Jeremy R. Hill made appearances on Ms. McGrew's behalf by filing the State's motion to quash in related case 1996C14418 on September 2, 2011. Mr. Hill made a personal appearance at the hearing of the related case on September 6, 2011 to support the State's motion to quash the subpoena to appear and to produce. Service of process by mail for Ms. McGrew to Attorney General Suthers and Assistant Attorney General Hill at 1525 Sherman Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Denver, Colorado 80203 is therefore proper.

622. Defendant Larry Desbien is a Colorado Department of Human Services employee in the Division of Child Support Enforcement where he acts as Section Chief. Defendant Desbien's place of employment is located at 1575 Sherman Street, Denver, Colorado 80203. Colorado Attorney General Suthers and Assistant Attorney General Hill made appearances on Mr. Desbien's behalf in related case 1996C14418 on September 2, 2011. Mr. Hill made a personal appearance at the hearing of the related case on September 6, 2011 to support the State's motion to quash Mr. Desbien's subpoena to appear. Service of process by mail for Mr. Desbien to Attorney General Suthers and Assistant Attorney General Hill at 1525 Sherman Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Denver, Colorado 80203 is therefore proper.

623. Defendant Toni Herman is an El Paso County Department of Human Services employee who was the former DHS contract manager under the previous contract with the

former child support enforcement delegate. Defendant Herman relocated to the County's new building at 1675 Garden of the Gods Road, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80907. Defendant Herman is represented by John A. Thirkell, Chief Deputy County Attorney. Mr. Thirkell made a first appearance on her behalf in related case 1996C14418 on September 1, 2011 by filing a motion to quash the subpoena to appear served upon her. Nonetheless, service of process by mail for Ms. Herman to Mr. Thirkell at 105 East Vermijo Avenue, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 is proper.

624. Defendant Richard Bengtsson is an El Paso County Department of Human Services employee who was and still is Director of the DHS. Defendant Bengtsson's place of employment is located at 105 North Spruce Street, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903. Defendant Bengtsson is also represented by Mr. Thirkell. Mr. Thirkell also made his first appearance on Mr. Bengtsson's behalf in related case 1996C14418 on September 1, 2011 by filing motions to quash the subpoena to appear and to produce and for protection of the subpoenaed record compelled from Mr. Bengtsson as the custodian of the record. Mr. Thirkell made a personal appearance at the hearing of the related case on September 6, 2011 to support his motions to quash. Service of process for Mr. Bengtsson to Mr. Thirkell at 105 East Vermijo Avenue, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 is therefore proper.

### III.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

625. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 2-607 of the original complaint and paragraphs 608-624 of this amended complaint.

626. Defendant Dolbow's July 27, 2011 first appearance letter gave notice of her intent to use the 1996 permanent restraining order obtained in case number 1996C14418 to

prevent Plaintiff from discovering the truth about the racketeering scheme in which she played a central part.

627. Defendant Dolbow mailed Plaintiff an incorrect copy of the first appearance letter and without the court-stamped caption page of the General Response Form used.

628. Plaintiff mailed Defendant Dolbow his letter of July 28, 2011 offering self-help information in the lawsuit so she could properly answer the complaint on or before August 2, 2011; said date being day 20 under C.R.C.P. 12(a).

629. On August 3, 2011, Plaintiff moved for a default judgment in the lawsuit under C.R.C.P. 55(a) and gave notice to set the hearing of the default motion on August 15, 2011.

630. Defendant Dolbow was unresponsive to his motion for default.

631. On August 8, 2011, Plaintiff moved for change of venue in the lawsuit pursuant to C.R.C.P. 98(g) and filed the affidavit to support the change of venue and the first change to the notice to set hearing to include the hearing of the motion to change venue.

632. Defendant Dolbow was unresponsive to his motion for change of venue.

633. On August 8, 2011, Defendant Dolbow moved the court to reinstate the 1996 permanent restraining order, having preempted the request through her first appearance letter.

634. On August 12, 2011, Plaintiff filed: (a) the motion to set case management conference in the lawsuit asking for the court's direction because of Defendant Dolbow's June 25, 2011 threat to have him arrested for violating the 1996 permanent restraining order and (b) the second change to the notice to set hearing to add the hearing of his case management concerns to the hearing of his motions for entry of a default judgment and change of venue. The motion to set case management conference had additional self-help information.

635. On August 12, 2011, Plaintiff received a copy of Magistrate Jami Vigil's order

to set a hearing of the reinstatement of the permanent restraining order within three weeks.

636. On August 15, 2011, the court mailed Plaintiff his notice to appear at the permanent restraining order hearing set for September 6, 2011 at 8:30 a.m. The Magistrate's note gave notice of her intent to activate the 1996 order.

637. On August 15, 2011 at 7:00 a.m., The Court reviewed the notice to set hearing and the two hearing changes in the lawsuit in a one-hour hearing.

638. On August 15, 2011 at 8:30 a.m., Plaintiff called Division 13 to set the hearing date but was forced to leave a message and wait for a return call.

639. On August 15, 2011, the Division N clerk reported that "THIS CLERK" contacted Defendant Dolbow by phone to inform her the status conference set by The Court in the lawsuit would be September 19, 2011 at 8:30 a.m. for 30 minutes. Defendant Dolbow was reported to have informed "THIS CLERK" of her recent diagnosis of multiple sclerosis and that she might be unable to attend. "THIS CLERK" is reported to have advised her to make the conference if possible but to contact the court if she could not attend.

640. On August 15, 2011, Division N Clerk "Josh" returned Plaintiff's morning call to advise that The Court agreed to a 30-minute status conference on September 19, 2011 at 8:30 a.m.

641. The record demonstrates Defendant Dolbow did not intend to participate in the setting of the hearing.

642. On August 19, 2011, Defendant Dolbow came to Plaintiff's residence to take their son to lunch.

643. On August 19, 2011, Plaintiff filed the Motion to Compel Answer and the Notice of Hearing and the special pre-hearing briefs for the hearings of the motion for default



judgment, change of venue, and case management in the lawsuit; the pre-hearing briefs provided additional self-help information to Defendant Dolbow.

644. On August 22, 2011, The Court issued two orders denying the entry of a default judgment under C.R.C.P. 55(a) and change of venue.

645. On August 23, 2011, Plaintiff moved for assignment of judge in the restraining order case. According to the Integrated Colorado Online Network report, the clerk's office was unable to upload the Judicial Department Consent to Magistrate Form used by Plaintiff and had to route it to records for entry into Papervision.

646. Defendant Dolbow returned the first envelope of August 23, 2011 "refused mail" from Plaintiff by certified mail piece 7010 0780 001 8263 4005.

647. On August 25, 2011, Plaintiff filed the pre-hearing brief for the hearing of the reinstatement of the permanent restraining order, his witness list, and his notice to produce evidence.

648. Plaintiff's defense of the motion to reinstate the 1996 permanent restraining order was laid out in the pre-hearing brief as a complete denial of all allegations of harassment based on Defendant Dolbow's total lack of creditability as shown through the theft of his personal property, perjured affidavits, false testimony under oath, and attempted blackmail. All of which formed the basis of the lawsuit against her for outrageous conduct and civil conspiracy.

649. Defendant Dolbow returned the second envelope of August 25, 2011 "refused mail" from Plaintiff by certified mail piece 7010 0780 0001 8263 4067.

650. On August 25, 2011, Defendant Dolbow "started" a letter to the court in the lawsuit that she subsequently finished and signed on August 29, 2011.

651. Defendant Dolbow's letter begins; "*As was instructed*, I am filing this response

to the El Paso County *Courts* in regards to the above mentioned case number 11CV229.

[Emphasis added.] From this point on, *my part in this is over*. [Emphasis added.] Also, I will no longer accept any further mailings from the Plaintiff, Robert Wayne Johnson.”

652. On August 26, 2011, Plaintiff obtained the clerk’s consent to issue subpoenas to Defendants Brunner, Balquin, Herman, and Bengtsson and immediately thereafter personally delivered the subpoenas to the El Paso County Sherriff’s Office.

653. On August 26, 2011, Plaintiff obtained consent to issue subpoenas to Defendants McGrew and Desbien and then contacted the Denver Sheriff’s Office for instructions for mailing the subpoenas for personal service to Defendant’s Desbien and McGrew and thereafter mailed them with payment for service enclosed.

654. During Plaintiff’s preparation for the hearing of the reinstatement of the permanent restraining order, Plaintiff inspected the case file for 1996C14418 and found proof all court-ordered temporary support was paid to Defendant Dolbow contrary to the oral and written statements obtained from Defendant Dolbow by Defendants Balquin, McGrew, and Desbien that were used by them to punish Plaintiff for being “uncooperative.”

655. Plaintiff received a copy of Defendant Dolbow’s letter to the court on August 30, 2011 and after certain copies of other motions filed with the court were mailed to Defendant.

656. Defendant Dolbow returned a third envelope of refused mail after August 30, 2011.

657. On September 6, 2011 *before 8:30 a.m.*, Plaintiff filed his responses to the State and County’s motions to quash subpoenas which presented facts pertaining to the involvement of Defendants Balquin, Brunner, McGrew, Desbien, Herman, and Bengtsson in the lawsuit as well as *in* his defense of the reinstatement of the permanent restraining order. [Emphasis added.]

658. On September 6, 2011, Magistrate Vigil was sitting on the bench contrary to the fact Plaintiff filed the Consent to Magistrate form on August 23, 2011 and marked it that he did not consent to “all hearings and rulings on all motions” by a magistrate in case number 96C14418.

659. Magistrate Vigil took the introductions of the attorneys for Defendants Brunner, Balquin, McGrew, Desbien, Herman, and Bengtsson at the hearing time and then announced Ms. Dolbow’s motion was dismissed due to her failure to appear.

660. On September 6, 2011 after the hearing, Plaintiff filed a combined motion to dismiss the 1996 permanent restraining order; to purge the 1996 contempt citation; and to answer a question of law regarding the mailing of copies of filed court documents to Defendant Dolbow.

661. On September 6, 2011 after the hearing, Plaintiff filed the Declarations and Interrogatories to Defendant Dolbow in the restraining order case and mailed her a copy because of his notice to add counts to the lawsuit due to the new temporary support evidence as well as to meet the requirement that the settlement offer be disclosed to her.

662. Plaintiff’s preparation for the hearing anticipated Defendant Dolbow’s appearance at the hearing because she had not dismissed her motion or requested a continuance. Therefore, Plaintiff filed corrected certificates of service to reflect the true events of September 6, 2011 to Defendant Dolbow and attorneys for Defendants Brunner, Balquin, McGrew, Herman, and Bengtsson.

663. Today, Plaintiff filed a motion to consolidate the County Court restraining order case 1996C14418 into the District Court case 2011CV229.

#### IV.

#### CAUSES OF ACTION

##### COUNT I – OUTRAGEOUS CONDUCT

664. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 2-607 of the original complaint and 608-663 above of this AMENDED COMPLAINT and shows that the conduct of Defendants Dolbow, Brunner, Balquin, McGrew, Desbien, Herman, and Bengtsson was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, unconscionable and utterly intolerable in a civilized community and that he is therefore entitled to an award of damages for the intentional infliction of severe emotional distress perpetrated upon him by Defendants that caused the loss of enjoyment of life.

##### COUNT II – CIVIL CONSPIRACY

665. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 2-607 of the original complaint and 608-664 above of this AMENDED COMPLAINT and shows the Defendants Dolbow, Brunner, Balquin, McGrew, Desbien, Herman, and Bengtsson committed civil conspiracy underlying the tortious acts of outrageous conduct and fraud by forming an association of two or more people to accomplish an unlawful purpose where they agreed and understood the objective and the manner in which it would be achieved, and Plaintiff was injured as a result of this association and is entitled to an award of damages.

##### COUNT III – FRAUD

666. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 2-607 of the original complaint and 608-665 above of this AMENDED COMPLAINT and shows the Defendants Dolbow, Brunner, Balquin, McGrew, Desbien, Herman, and Bengtsson conspired together to commit fraud by knowingly misrepresenting material facts to the detriment of Plaintiff financially, and he is entitled to the

award of damages arising from the bad acts of the Defendants.

COUNT IV – UNJUST ENRICHMENT

667. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 2-607 of the original complaint and 608-666 above of this AMENDED COMPLAINT and shows Defendant Dolbow benefited from the fraudulent misrepresentation of material facts and Plaintiff is entitled to restitution for her unjust enrichment.

COUNT V – DEFAMATION

668. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 2-607 of the original complaint and 608-667 above of this AMENDED COMPLAINT and shows the Defendants Dolbow, Brunner, Balquin, McGrew, Desbien, Herman, and Bengtsson knowingly disregarded the truth as willing participants in the county, state, and federal cover-up of the racketeering scheme exposed through Defendant Dolbow's application for child support enforcement, and each Defendant played a unique role in discrediting Plaintiff in the most public ways possible to purposely bring about the public's contempt and hatred of him and that he has suffered immeasurable harm by their malicious acts to defame him.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demands the award of damages against Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial by an enlightened jury, plus costs, and for any such other relief, including attorney fees, as to the court may seem just and equitable the premises considered.

Submitted this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2011.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert Wayne Johnson, Pro Se

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing AMENDED COMPLAINT was placed in the United States mail, postage prepaid as certified mail, on September \_\_\_\_, 2011 and addressed to:

Eigel & Rumans,  
Counsel for Jonica Brunner and Melissa Balquin  
C/O Young Williams Child Support Services  
30 East Pikes Peak Ave., Suite 203  
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

The El Paso County Attorneys Office  
Counsel for Toni Herman and Richard Bengtsson  
John A. Thirkell, Chief Deputy County Attorney  
105 East Vermijo Ave.  
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

The Colorado Attorney General's Office  
Counsel for Leslie McGrew and Larry Desbien  
Assistant Attorney General Jeremy R. Hill  
1525 Sherman St, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Denver, CO 80203

I further certify that a true and correct copy of the original COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND was mailed together with the AMENDED COMPLAINT to the addresses shown above.

**NOTE: ALL PARTIES SHOULD USE MR. JOHNSON'S ADDRESS SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT'S TITLE PAGE. EACH PARTY CHOSE TO USE A DIFFERENT "OLD" ADDRESS TO CREATE ADDITIONAL DELAYS IN HIS RECEIPT OF THE PARTY'S LATE MOTIONS TO QUASH. EACH PARTY WAS REQUIRED TO INVESTIGATE THE CASE IDENTIFIED ON SUBPOENAS TO KNOW WHETHER OR NOT MR. JOHNSON'S RIGHT TO COMPEL WITNESSES AND EVIDENCE IN HIS DEFENSE OF THE REINSTATEMENT OF THE 1996 DOMESTIC PROTECTION ORDER WOULD BE DENIED HIM. HIS ADDRESS IS SHOWN ON ALL TITLE PAGES OF PLEADINGS AND MOTIONS. MOREOVER, MS. EIGEL KNOWS EVERYTHING THERE IS TO KNOW ABOUT THIS CASE AS WELL AS THE SUBSTANCE OF THE RELATED RESTRAINING ORDER CASE AND THE RELATED DOMESTIC CASE. SHE SHOULD BE DISBARRED FOR HER ACTIVE ROLE IN THE RACKETEERING SCHEME IN WHICH SHE IS UNDENIABLY A PART. INSTEAD, EL PASO COUNTY CONTINUES TO REWARD HER BY ALLOWING HER TO COLLECT PRIVATE COMPENSATION FROM YOUNG WILLIAMS CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES AS THE COUNTY'S APPROVED SUBCONTRACTOR FOR LEGAL SERVICES AND THE COUNTY CONTINUES TO LET HER HOLD THE POSITION OF SPECIAL DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT. MR. JOHNSON WELCOMES THE OPPORTUNITY TO DEFEND AGAINST ANY DEFAMATION CLAIM MS. EIGEL MIGHT MAKE AND WOULD CONSIDER IT A PUBLIC SERVICE TO DO SO.**

---

Robert Wayne Johnson

## **CERTIFICATE OF NO MAILING**

Robert Wayne Johnson was put on NOTICE by Vanessa Ralphita Dolbow's letter to the court dated August 25, 2011 and signed August 29, 2011 in case number 2011CV229 that she would no longer accept any future mailings from him in the case. Ms. Dolbow's letter was received by him on August 30, 2011 and after another motion in that case and copies of subpoenas were mailed to her in the restraining order case. Any mailing from Mr. Johnson to Ms. Dolbow may be viewed by her as harassment and subject him to possible criminal charges. Therefore should the court, as a legal question answered, decide a mailing must be made to Ms. Dolbow, her address is shown below and the copy cost and postage for regular delivery by U.S. mail may be taxed to Mr. Johnson; Ms. Dolbow will not return mail from the court.

Vanessa R. Dolbow  
1836 Brookdale Drive  
Colorado Springs, CO 80918-3476

\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert Wayne Johnson